This review discusses the genesis of impermeability in graphene and its extraordinary applications in fluid-encasement for wet electron-microscopy, selective gas-permeation, nanopore-bio-diffusion, and barrier coating against rusting and environmental hazards. As the thinnest material, graphene is composed of sp² hybridized carbon atoms linked to one another in a 2D honeycomb lattice with high electron-density in its aromatic rings, which blocks-off all molecules. This phenomena, in combination with its strong structure (C–C bond energy = 4.9 eV and intrinsic strength = 43 N/m) makes graphene the most impermeable membrane (thinnest membrane that is impermeable). Apart from the applications mentioned above, graphene coatings have enabled fundamental studies on chemical processes and fluid structures. For example, graphene can allow electron imaging of nanocrystal nucleation process and water-lattice-structure due to its impermeability. Along with being the strongest, most conductive, and optically-absorbing material (2.3% optical absorbance), graphene’s impermeability opens a wide range of exciting opportunities.
[18], and high mechanical strength (strongest nanomaterial) [19]. It has been used in several extraordinary applications, including ultrafast photo-detectors [20], single molecule detectors [21,22], ultrafast FETs [14,23–25], single-bacterial/DNA detectors [26], hydrogen visualization-templates for TEM [27], and tunable spintronic [28–30] devices. Further, it possesses a unique electronic structure [31,32], which can be controlled chemically [33,34] and geometrically [35].

Although it is only one atom thick, an interesting property of graphene is its impermeability. Graphene’s π-orbitals forms a dense [36], delocalized cloud that blocks the gap within its aromatic rings (Fig. 1). This creates a repelling field, which does not allow even the smallest molecules, like hydrogen and helium, to pass through even when ~1–5 atm pressure difference is imposed across its atomic thickness at room temperature [4]. The ability to withstand such pressure differences (6 atm) in graphene is a result of its high strength (breaking strength = 42 N/m) and Young’s modulus (1 TPa) [19], which retains the structural integrity of graphene. While the theoretical studies have shown that there is no gap in electron-density around the aromatic rings to allow molecules to pass, it can be seen the geometric gap calculated from van der Waals (vdw) radius of carbon will be smaller than the size of He (Fig. 1). The C–C bond length [13] of 0.142 nm in graphene implies that considering the nuclei alone, the pore size would be 0.246 nm. Now if we add the vdw radius of carbon of 0.11 nm, this geometric pore size would decrease to 0.064 nm. This geometric gap is smaller than the vdw diameter of small molecules like helium and hydrogen: 0.28 nm and 0.314 nm (bond-length (0.074 nm) + 2r (0.12 nm)), respectively.

Since the permeance of a membrane ($Q = \text{flux}/(\Delta P) = C.Pr/(\Delta P)$, where $C$, $Pr$ and $\Delta P$ are the particle collision rate ($C = \frac{q^4}{8kTmC16/C17}$), the probability of diffusion ($Pr$) and pressure difference ($\Delta P$), respectively, increases with reduction in the membrane thickness, and graphene is the thinnest 2D material, along with the fact that it does not allow any molecule to pass through, it can safely be graded as the most impermeable material. Via a similar argument, graphene with controlled pores can form the ultimate thin membrane for gas separation or fluid purification. This property is gaining attention since it has already shown a wide range of applications, as discussed in this review. Further, other properties of graphene can be combined with its impermeability to develop corresponding applications. For example, the flexible [37] and stretchable [19] carbon–carbon bonds in graphene can allow conformal coating on corrugated surfaces to make them impermeable [12]. The high electron-transparency and conformability can be employed to construct atomically-thin impermeable liquid cells for transmission electron microscope (TEM) imaging. The strength of graphene to withstand high pressure difference and its high electrical conductivity enables liquid retention and reduction in electrical charging during electron-microscopy imaging. Moreover, chemical interfaces of graphene with membranes can be used to control its permeance, and it can be used as a protective coating.
to prevent metal-corrosion and to quarantine hazardous nanomaterials. The next section will discuss the impermeability of graphene, followed by its applications.

2. Impermeability of graphene

Bunch et al. tested the permeance of several gases through a micro-chamber capped with a graphene sheet [4] (Fig. 2). Here, the graphene sheet blister depresses and stretches under a negative pressure difference of $-93 \text{kPa}$ across the monolayer sheet (on a $2 \times 2 \mu m^2$ hole) by applying vacuum (0.1 Pa) for 4 days and releasing the system to atmospheric pressure of different gases. The leak rate values are calculated by measuring the pressure change:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{V}{k_B T} \frac{dP}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

For He, a leak rate of $10^{5}-10^{6}$ atoms/s is measured for the chamber; this rate does not change with number of graphene layers. Importantly, estimated diffusion through the silica microchamber yields similar leak rate. This implies that the permeation is not through the graphene sheet, but through the microchamber walls. Further, Bunch et al. also estimated the upper bound of the probability of transmittance of a He atom through graphene ($\frac{dN}{dt}$, where $d$ is the depth of the microchamber and $v$ is the velocity of He atom) to be $10^{-11}$.

With estimated tunneling barrier [38] of 8 eV [39] (or 3.5 eV for the temporary bond-breaking ‘window’ mechanism [40]) for He transmittance through graphene, the probability of transmittance of He is orders of magnitude lower at $10^{-335}$ (or $10^{-212}$):

$$p = \exp \left( -\frac{2x\sqrt{2m(V - E)}}{\hbar} \right) \tag{2}$$

where $x = 0.3 \text{ nm}$, $V = 8 \text{ eV}$ (or 3.5 eV), and $E = 25 \text{ meV}$ (room temperature). Therefore, for all practical purposes, graphene is impermeable [41,42]. Further, the permeance of graphene is related with the route of its synthesis, since it influences the defects on graphene. For example, CVD graphene is expected to have Stone–Wales defects, which reduce the barrier to He diffusion. Although, this reduction in barrier height (calculated to be between 6 and 9.2 eV) is not sufficient to allow ready passage of He [38]. However, other defects can significantly reduce the barrier to He penetration, as per calculations; for example vacancy defects, divacancy, tetravacancy, hexavacancy, and decavancy induce

![Fig. 2 - (a) Schematic of a graphene sealed microchamber. (Inset) optical image of a single atomic layer graphene drumhead on 440 nm of SiO$_2$. The dimensions of the microchamber are 4.75 x 4.75 $\mu$m x 380 nm. (b) Side view schematic of the graphene sealed microchamber. (c) Tapping mode atomic force microscope (AFM) image of a ~9 nm thick many layer graphene drumhead with $\Delta p > 0$. The dimensions of the square microchamber are 4.75 x 4.75 $\mu$m. The upward deflection at the center of the membrane is 90 nm. (d) AFM image of the graphene sealed microchamber of Fig. 1a with $\Delta p = -93 \text{kPa}$ across it. The minimum dip in the $z$ direction is 175 nm. (e) AFM line traces taken through the center of the graphene membrane of (a). The images were taken continuously over a span of 71.3 h and in ambient conditions. (Inset) deflection at the center of the graphene membrane vs time. The first deflection measurement ($\zeta$) is taken 40 min after removing the microchamber from vacuum. From Ref. [4].]
a barrier of around 5.75–8 eV, 3–4 eV, 1–1.2 eV, 0.33–0.44, and 0.05–0.1 eV, respectively [38]. As will be discussed latter, the permeance of graphene can be controlled by opening fine pores in graphene.

3. Impermeable graphene microchambers for TEM imaging

Graphene presents an excellent opportunity to be employed as a material to encase liquid or wet samples for enhanced electron microscopy. The properties of graphene that make it ideal for fluid encasement for TEM imaging include: (a) impermeability [5,21] (as mentioned above), (b) high electron-transparency [22]; high-momentum electrons can transmit through monolayer or multilayer (1–10 nm) graphene, which enables facile TEM imaging, (c) flexibility [6,7] (which enables graphene to be rolled into carbon nanotubes) allows the sheets to conformally form chambers at nano-, micro- and macro-scale, (d) mechanical strength [5,23]: graphene’s high yield-strength enables it to sustain the high pressure differences, similar to that between the fluidic region and external vacuum of a TEM, (e) high electrical conductivity [8,22]: the mobile \( \pi \)-electrons of graphene significantly reduce the electrostatic charge buildup under electron microscopy (EM), and (f) high thermal conductance [18]: graphene’s high phonon-conductivity dissipates the heat generated from electron bombardment. Clearly, this unique combination of properties makes graphene an ideal nanomaterial for wet-phase imaging under TEM; while preserving volatile content under high vacuum.

These properties of graphene can be leveraged to image wet biological cells via electron microscopy [12,43]. For example, graphene functionalized with concanavalin – a pectin protein specifically binds to bacterial cell-wall (Gram positive) – can hermetically wrap the bacterial cells [12] (Fig. 3) and act as an impermeable, electron transparent encasement, allowing wet-imaging of the cells under TEM conditions (high vacuum) [2,44]. The graphene-wrapped bacteria’s original shape, morphology and size from atmospheric pressure were retained under high vacuum TEM conditions. The mass transfer rate [35] from bacteria is given by:

\[
\frac{MT}{k} = k C_w + \frac{A}{C_w} C_T \quad (3)
\]

where \( k \) is the Darcian permeability, \( A \) is the electron-beam damage-factor, \( C_w \) and \( C_T \) are the water mass in and the total mass of a bacterium, \( R \) is the radius of the cell, \( I \) is the electron beam current and \( z \) is the latent heat of vaporization. The initial rate of volume shrinkage is given by:

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} = (V_{B0} + \alpha_1) \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{(1 + \alpha_2)^2} - \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{(1 + \alpha_2)} = pD \quad (4)
\]

This provides combined permeability (\( pD \), Darcian and electron-beam induced permeability) where, \( V_{B0} \) is the volume fraction of completely dehydrated cell and \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \beta \) are constants inversely proportional to membrane permeability, and \( \alpha_2 \) is a constant proportional to the additional permeability caused by electron-beam induced membrane damage. Here, the Darcian permeability of cells reduces from 7.6 to 20 nm/s to practically zero for graphene wrapped cells. Therefore, this process can be used for cells to completely cut off the release of volatile material. The wrapping process cannot be used at higher temperature.
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Fig. 3 – (a) Protein functionalized graphene (PFG) wrapping of bacteria prohibits shrinking under TEM. (b and c) Representative TEM images of wrapped bacterium (WB on 100 nm thick Si₃N₄ windows) exhibits no shrinkage from the original size after 5 and 20 min exposure. (d and e) Representative unwrapped bacteria (UWB) exhibit ~75% shrinkage after only 5 min under TEM vacuum (minimum time to obtain micrograph). Extensive bubbling is also observed during imaging, attributed to boiling of the volatile component of the cell’s intracellular region (see videos in Supporting information). Scale bar = 500 nm. Note that under the same conditions, the cell wall of the wrapped bacteria is clearly discernible. This is attributed to significantly reduced charge accumulation due to the conductive PFGs (due to \( \pi \)-electrons). Further, it has been shown that graphene [7] and graphene oxide [10,11] can act as a great electron-transparent substrate for TEM imaging without the need for staining biological samples. From Ref. [12].
The graphene encaissement/wrapping mechanism on any substrate is a sequential multi-point attachment process, as favored by minimization of Gibbs energy:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mu_{G,i}N_{G,i} + \mu_{B,i}N_{B,i}) > \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mu_{GB,i}N_{GB,i})$$  \(\text{(5)}\)

Here, \(\mu\) is the chemical potential, \(N\) is the number of sites and the substrates G+ and B− are the corresponding binding sites on graphene and substrate, respectively) \[29\]. Further, the sizeable reduction of free energy originating from the reduction of chemical potential via the highly-specific ligand–receptor interaction is expected to offset the ligand–receptor bond stretching during wrapping \[29\].

In 2011, via using a similar mechanism, Kempaiah et al. showed that yeast cells can also be wrapped with graphene oxide to provide a robust mechanical shell and electrically conductive surface \[2\] (Fig. 4). If the cells are not completely wrapped, they will leak out the intercellular volatile content, which leads to shrinkage of the yeast cell. As a result of the shrinkage of the cells, radial wrinkles form on graphene (1.5 nm thick) orthogonal to the boundary of the cell. The average wavelength of the wrinkles is given by: \(\lambda = t(E/Es)^{1/3}\), where \(t\) is the thickness of graphene, \(E\) is the Young’s modulus of graphene and \(Es\) is the Young’s modulus of the cell wall. The calculated wrinkle wavelength of 20 nm matched with the experimental values of 40–70 nm, with the amplitude, \(A\), of the wrinkles due to the in-plane shear estimated to be 28 nm (\(A = (Lv/\sigma})(8/(3 + 3/6)^{1/2}\), \[45\] where \(v\) is the Poisson ratio and \(L\) is the length of the cell). Therefore, wrapping the cells can provide both a route to image cells via electron microscope, or to create ordered wrinkles. More studies are required to understand the influence on the number of layers on the electron transparency of graphene for these applications.

Apart from imaging wet samples, graphene can enable observation of chemical processes in the liquid phase. In 2012, Yuk et al. \[46\] studied the growth on Pt nanocrystals in liquid phase at high resolution by creating a blister space between two graphene sheets, where aqueous solution of Pt cations was encased. This was followed by reduction of Pt cations by electron beam exposure at constant flux and their binding to form Pt nanocrystals. Here, graphene acted as an impermeable micro-chamber retaining the liquid phase, and an electron transparent membrane enabling electron-microscopy, while the liquid solution absorbed the electrons to reduce the Pt cations to nucleate the growth of nanocrystals of Pt. Therefore, it was possible to image the dynamics of growth of Pt nanocrystal in aqueous phase at high resolution via an aberration-corrected TEM; the monomer addition and coalescence events were directly observed. It is known that the nanocrystal growth is influenced by the interaction of the precursors with the chamber material. For example, in the case of silicon nitride encased TEM holders, the growth of the nanocrystals occurs near the wall. Interestingly, due to the low interaction of the Pt precursor and nanocrystal with graphene, the Pt nanocrystal growth in the graphene blister-chamber was independent of location, especially near the chamber-wall.

4. Impermeable graphene membranes as protective coating

Impermeable graphene can also be used as a barrier membrane for environmentally hazardous agents \[47\] and as an anti-corrosion coating \[48\]. In several chemical reactions, graphene acts as an inert material, where other materials undergo reaction. For anodic and cathodic scans on graphene coated nickel and copper, the current densities decreases by about an order of magnitude, and there is a sharp decrease in the reactions rates or reduction in ionic transfer. This implies that graphene acts as a protective layer to underlying metal. For Ni, the metallic ionization (Ni → Ni^{2+} + 2e) and for Cu, cathodic reaction (2H₂O + O₂ + 4e → 4OH⁻) reduces implying low rate of corrosion. The corrosion current density for both metals reduces after graphene coating. For Ni the corrosion potential moves up by 0.3 V, while for Cu the corrosion potential reduces by 0.15 V \[48-50\].

Graphene oxide (GO) films also block the convective flow at a much lower loading in comparison to other carbon sources. For example, a film of GO (20 nm thick) coated on polyethylene membrane can reduce the permeability of toxic mercury vapors by 90% \[47\]. Here, at only 50 nm thickness, the hydra-

**Fig. 4** – Interfacing of CRG sheets with SaC cells. (a) Schematic of the process where calcium ions are used to interface the CRG sheets with the SaC cells. (b) FESEM image shows the CRG sheets on the surface of the cells. They form a partial coverage on the surface. The inset shows the presence of the Au particles on the cell-CRG sheets. The scale is 50 nm. From Ref. \[2\].
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Lic conductivity (for convective water flow) was measured to be $5 \times 10^{-13}$ cm/s, which is lower than natural impervious materials such as granite and limestone. Therefore, graphene can function as an excellent protective coating for a large number of applications. Further, via microdroplet drying of GO with inorganic-nanostructures, an impermeable encasement of nanomaterials with GO forming a sack can be achieved [51]. This nanomaterial encased in GO can be used in environmental and biological applications where a physical barrier is important.

5. **Stabilizing molecular monolayers**

Water monolayers are known to be formed on mica surface under high humidity. Interestingly, impermeable graphene on mica can trap crystalline adlayers of water at room temperature. This allows accurate measure of the size of these adlayers on mica surface [52]. Several other studies have been used to understand the length scales of the water adsorbed on mica and other substrates, however the studies are challenging at room temperature due to the high vapor pressure of water. Graphene provides a highly conformal and flexible encasement, which can trap and retain the adlayers of water. An atomic force microscope (AFM) can then be used to measure the thickness of the water-adlayers. A puckered bilayer water from the structure of ice (Fig. 5) has a thickness of 0.369 nm, while that measured from graphene trapped water layers is 0.37 nm. This implies the crystalline formation of water adlayers similar to ice under graphene. Similarly, He et al. employed high-vacuum STM to study water layer between graphene and mica and found a height of 0.4 nm for first water later and additional 0.3 nm more for the next water layer [5] (Fig. 5). Therefore, impermeable graphene and its relative non-interaction with water enables imaging of water layer as a crystal [8] (Fig. 5) on mica at room temperature. This is an important fundamental result made possible via the conformity and impermeability of graphene. Similar results are possible for other fluidic crystal-structures.

6. **Graphene membranes for separation applications**

Theoretical predictions suggest that artificial pores in graphene can increase its permeability and permeation-selectivity [39–41,44,53] (Fig. 6). For example, a nitrogen functionalized pore in graphene can open up an effective gap of 3 Å, which can lead to high selective diffusion of H2 over CH4 of $10^8$; while a hydrogen terminated vacancy can open up 2.5 Å with
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Fig. 5 – Scanning tunneling microscopy topographic scans of few layered water confined between graphene and mica. (a) Thirty nanometer by 30 nm image showing the first two water layers on the mica surface. (b) Zoomed-in spatial derivative of the boxed region in (a) showing the honeycomb lattice of the monolayer graphene coating. (c) Hundred nanometer by 100 nm false-colored topographic image of graphene–water–mica system. Three layers of water are visible, as well as a graphene grain boundary, which is labeled by the dotted white line. The protrusions coming out of the third water layer could be due to either contaminants trapped under the graphene or to the water displaying increasing bulklike properties as it gets further from the mica surface. Scanning conditions are ~0.35 V sample bias and 1 nA tunneling current. The right panel shows the lattice structure of ice crystal. Adapted from Refs. [5,8]. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
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a selectivity of $10^{23}$ for H$_2$/CH$_4$ (Fig. 6) [1]. Similarly, periodic pores on graphene (two-dimensional polyphenylene networks [54,55]) can produce different barrier heights for transmission of different gases as well. For example, 2D polyphenylene theoretically exhibits a transmission barrier of 0.523, 1.245, and 4.832 eV for He, Ne, and CH$_4$ transport respectively [56]. These pores on graphene can be superior to the traditional polymeric or silica membranes [57] due to high permeance and selectivity.

Koenig et al. produced Angstrom scale pores on graphene blisters by controlled UV exposure (wavelengths of 185 nm and 254 nm) [58]. The UV-based etching rate is slow and thus produces small pores, unlike plasma based etching which is fast and challenging to control. The permeability was calculated by measuring the leak rate of the gases through the porous graphene blister. With pore sizes between 0.2 and 0.4 nm, a selectivity of $\sim 10^4$ between CH$_4$ and H$_2$ was achieved. Further, the diffusion of Ar remains unchanged before and after etching pores in graphene, implying complete blockage of Ar. The molecular diffusion rate through the pores was found to be inversely proportional to the size of the molecules.

There is also evidence of intrinsic pores in graphene produced via chemical vapor deposition, which is expected to have grain-boundaries and point defects. These were estimated to be between 1 and 14 nm [59]. Larger pores can be created by template etching in graphene via plasma [60]; however, those do not produce small enough pores to allow selective sieving. Therefore, a large number of possibilities exist to make porous graphene structures over a large range of pores.

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have also been used extensively in membrane applications; where, they can form two types of membranes: (a) randomly entangled CNT-paper structure where the molecules pass around CNTs and (b) isoporous membranes, where molecules pass through the interior of CNT tubes [61]. For the second type of CNT membranes, the collapsed vertically-aligned CNTs with no polymer show higher permeance (pore size = 3 nm) than CNT-membranes with polymer matrix [62]. Here, the flow of molecules through CNT exhibit varied levels of (frictionless) velocities, depending on the interaction of the molecule with the CNT walls [63,64]. Most importantly, the tip functionalization [65,66] can be used to control the flow of specific molecules through CNTs. For example, anionically charged CNT tip increased the diffusion of cationic molecules, an effect which reduces with increase in ionic concentration [66]. The molecular dynamics simulations have shown that while most molecules shows relatively frictionless motion thought the CNT, the chemistry of the tip of the CNT influences the selectivity [67].

7. Graphene pore ionic diffusion

Since atomically thick graphene is an impermeable membrane, a perfect graphene sheet separating two aqueous reservoirs of ions will not allow ionic trans-diffusion due to its
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impermeability [3]. However, a nanoscale pore in graphene will allow controlled trans-location (trans-diffusion) of ions and long molecules such as DNA [3] via the pore from one side of graphene to the other (Figs. 6 and 7). With a 1 M KCl reservoir, the pore resistance scales with the pore-diameter: \( R = \frac{a}{d^2} \), with \( a = 2.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-9} \Omega \text{m}^2 \) [68]. Further, the chemical makeup of the pores influences the selectivity of the pores. For example, theoretical measurements show that H-, F- or N-terminated pores of 0.5 nm diameter in graphene can lead to selective ion diffusion. With a 0.1 V/nm, the F- and N-terminated pores allow only Li+, Na+ and K+ ions to pass, while H-terminated pore allows only F-, Cl- and Br-ions to pass. The selectivity is attributed to Coulomb coupling between ions and functional groups on graphene pores [6]. However, the selectivity-effect reduces with increase in the pore size.

It is important to note that the ions pass through a thin 0.3 nm thick graphene and in a short distance can translocate from one side to another. This is unlike solid-state pores, where the thickness can be several nanometers. The modulation in the ionic currents [3] or lateral conductivity [69] can be used to detect the DNA or to read out the DNA sequence. For example, Schneider et al. has shown that the graphene nanopore could be used to determine the configuration of the DNA molecules passing through graphene nanopore at a higher resolution than the solid-state-nanopores [68] (Fig. 7). Again, this is because the solid-state nanopores are thicker than the dimensions of the DNA, while graphene has a compatible thickness to the DNA (Fig. 7). Therefore, the resolution at which the signal changes in graphene is much higher (0.3 nm). More work is required to realize the ability to sequence DNA as it passes through the nanopore.

8. Conclusion

Graphene is the most impermeable material owing to (a) the closed-spaced carbon atoms in graphene, (b) the high electron-density (\( \pi \)-cloud) which repels all molecules, (c) the high strength, and (d) its ultrathin (thinnest) structure. Since 2008 this property of graphene has been employed to develop several unprecedented applications, including (i) liquid encasement for wet electron microscopy, (ii) selective gas permeation, (iii) nanopore bio-diffusion, (iv) thinnest barrier against rusting [48,50], and (v) retention of molecular water layers. Futuristically, next generation impermeable-graphene systems could produce impermeable capsules, completely-sealed cages and gels [38], and answer some fundamental questions about fluidic chemical processes and structures. Along with a high strength, conductivity, and optical absorptivity, graphene’s impermeability is set to open a wide range of exciting opportunities.
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